



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,020	12/21/2001	Gin Liu	213202.00355	3692

27160	7590	12/11/2007
PATENT ADMINISTRATOR		
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP		
1025 THOMAS JEFFERSON STREET, N.W.		
EAST LOBBY: SUITE 700		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5201		

EXAMINER	
GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2611	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/024,020

Applicant(s)

LIU ET AL.

Examiner

Qutub Ghulamali

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 10-16, 31-46 and 48-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 10-16, 31-46, 48-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/09/2007 has been entered.

Response to Remarks/Amendment

2. Applicant's remarks/argument, see pages 9-11, filed 11/09/2007 with respect to claims 1, 10, 31, 51 and 52 as amended have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The telephone interview, regarding the amended claims conducted on 11/09/2007 is acknowledged herewith. The claim rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-7, 10-16, 31-43 and 48-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Herzberg (US Patent 6,459,678) in view of Abbas et al (US Pub. 2002/0080867).

Regarding claims 1, 51, Herzberg discloses a method comprising: determining and storing on a per bin basis a channel frequency response (frequency response of each DMT subchannel) measurement and a noise measurement measured at initialization at a first end of the DSL channel (process address measurement and storage of noise and response of each subchannel at the start of probe, fig. 5) (col. 2, lines 54-64; col. 5, lines 25-53). Herzberg further discloses that the measurements are communicated to the transmitting modem where the bit loading calculation program resides for calculating the bit allocation for the DMT subchannels (col. 9, lines 23-27) however, does not explicitly disclose determining and storing on a per bin basis a signal-to-noise (SNR) measurement measured at show time on a per bin basis at the first end of the DSL channel; and transmitting the SNR at measurement from the first end to a second end of the DSL channel. However, Abbas in a similar field of endeavor discloses determining and storing on a per bin basis a SNR measurement (profile) measured at show time (page 1, section 0004, 0005; page 6, sections 0057, 0058, 0059) and transmitting the measurements to a second end of the DSL channel (the SNR profiles are communicated between each participating modem in a transmit/receive basis) (page 6, section 0057). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use signal to noise

measurements at show time as taught by Abbas in the system of Herzberg because signal to noise measurements can allow reconfiguring of a transmitter/receiver pair during showtime to correct for changes in the given service requirements (allow rate adaptation) as well as changes in the associated channel noise profile more effectively.

Regarding claims 2, 11, 32 Herzberg discloses first end can comprise a central office (CO) end, and the second end comprise a customer premise equipment (CPE) end as a manifestation of DSL technology adaptation (col. 1, lines 36-49).

As per claims 3, 6, 12, 15, 33, 36, 53 and 55, Herzberg discloses the channel is asymmetrical as is inherently implied with the use of ADSL utilizing DMT modulation (col. 2, lines 32-41).

As per claims 4, 13, 34 these claims are analyzed in a similar fashion as claims 2, 11 and 32 as Herzberg discloses transmitter to receiver and receiver to transmitter functions (col. 1, lines 36-49).

Regarding claims 5, 14 and 35, Herzberg discloses the channel is non-overlapping (DMT is non-overlapping is inherently implied).

As per claims 7, 16, 37 the claims are design related and the xDSL technology can be adapted to a very high bit-rate such as HDSL, VDSL, etc., (col. 1, lines 36-49).

As per claim 10, the steps claimed as apparatus is nothing more than restating the function of the specific components of the method as claimed and therefore, it would have been obvious, to a person of skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize steps in forming circuit components so as to achieve the desired results of Herzberg

and Abbas combined, considering the aforementioned rejection for the method claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 31, 52 Herzberg and Abbas combined disclose all limitations of the claim above. Herzberg further discloses a computer or other computing device encoding a computer program means for execution by computer (see appendix A) (col. 5, lines 25-52).

As per claims 38 and 41, the channel response at initialization is a program function which can be programmed to represent a normalized complex number (col. 7, lines 16-40).

As to claims 39, 40, 42 and 43, the channel frequency response at initialization is traditionally communicated via the tip and ring of a copper loop in any given telephone circuit configured to transmit specified information over the twisted pair and is inherently implied with the use of telephone circuits.

Regarding claims 48, 50, 54 and 56, Herzberg and Abbas combined disclose all limitations of the claim. The combination further disclose use of ADSL, an asymmetrical subscriber line is one of the xDSL technologies wherein the channel may overlap because of more bandwidth in one direction than the other, typically downstream from the central office (CO) to the subscriber end and inherency is implied with its use.

Regarding claim 49, the channel can be symmetrical is well known in the art disclosed as prior art of the instant application (see page 2, lines 5-14).

5. Claims 44, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herzberg (US Patent 6,459,678) and Abbas et al (US Pub. 2002/0080867) and further in view of Zuranski et al (USP 6,263,077).

Regarding claim 44, 45, 46, Herzberg and Abbas combined disclose all limitations of the claim. The combination does not explicitly disclose analyzing time dependent changes (noise) in cross talk levels and line attenuation (fading) at the second end of the channel. Zuranski in a similar field of endeavor discloses analyzer (130) analyze time dependent changes (performs spectral analysis, the analyzer performs FFT and can also perform inverse Fourier transformation, in a first frequency range through an equalizer reducing or attenuating cross talk) in cross talk levels and line attenuation (fading) at the second end of the channel (col. 4, lines 1-2, 30-35, 53-67; col. 5, lines 1-12, 30-40; col. 9, lines 25-30, 31-63; col. 13, lines 18-42). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to analyze time dependent changes (noise or cross talk signal to noise ratio) to maintain line attenuation as taught by Zuranski in the combined system of Herzberg and Abbas because it can reduce or mitigate the near and far end cross talk noise from data propagated in data rate upstream or downstream of communication signal.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

Application/Control Number:
10/024,020
Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

QG.
December 6, 2007.


CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER